

PPC (Plant Process Computer) Replacement Project for TEPCO

Summary and Lessons Learned

Masaichi Kitajima Hidefumi Naito Tokyo Electric Power Services Co.,Itd

Object of this Presentation

TEPSCO recently replaced PPC for 1F-2 Unit in TEPCO plants, so I will introduce the overview of the project.

On the other hand, we encountered three major issues after installation.

By this presentation, we will share the issues with you and would like to obtain feedback from you.

Contents

- **1.** Introduction
- 2. Background of this project
- **3. PPC System configuration**
- 4. Process of this project
- 5. Overview of Replacement
- 6. New features and Samples of displays
- 7. Post Installation issue
- 8. Lessons Learned



TEPS

1.Introduction - TEPCO / TEPSCO -



The Tokyo Electric Power Company :

- Provides electric service for Tokyo area in Japan, and
- Performs business from generation (nuclear, fossil and hydro), transmission through power delivery
- TEPCO Operates :17 Nuclear Power units at 3 sites

(17 BWRs including 2 ABWRs)

TEPSCO is a Subsidiary company of TEPCO to support engineering works for TEPCO

The objective of the project is to design and deliver new PPC based on TEPCO's utility needs by R*TIME system as a replacement of original PPC for one of old unit, 1F2

















- Schedule Overview for PPC Replacement -

2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
(Research	PPC Replac	ement in US)			-			
		(Phase		2)					
				(Phase 1		e 2)			
						(22 nd	>	(23rd)	22nd : Preparation Work 23rd : Installation Work
				(ті	PCO Requ		xs/sdd)		Collect TEPCO Requirement SRS/SDD review & approve Other Works for designing
							(Idaho)	(1 F site)	
							(Idaho)	(1 F site)	
			(Research PPC Replacement in US	(Research PPC Replacement in US)	(Research PPC Replacement in US) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 1) (Ph	(Research PPC Replacement in US) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 1) (Phase 1) (Phase 1) (Phase 1) (Phase 1)	(Research PPC Replacement in US) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 2)	(Research PPC Replacement in US) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) (Phase 2) <td>(Research PPC Replacement in US) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (P</td>	(Research PPC Replacement in US) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (Phase 1) (Phase 2) (P

- Project Schedule of Unit 2 -

Preparation Work : SEP-2006 \sim DEC-2006 (22nd Outage)

TEPSCO

Design Work : MAY-2006 ~ JUN-2007

System Build : APR-2007 ~ MAR-2008

PPC Replacement : MAR-2008 ~ JUN-2008 (23rd Outage)



4.Process of this Project TEPSC - Preparation Work -Preparation work at 22nd outage Installation of Cabinets Installation of Time keeper 原子炉和 ′н<u>09м28</u>s IIIIIIIIIIIII 指差呼称 2号機 All PROPERTY.

- Preparation Work -

Pre-Combination Test at Staging Area



TEPSCO Proprietary Information

















6.New Features and Samples of displays

- New Features (2) -

Limit Line Trend display

Trend display with Limit Line (Rate of Change)

TEPSCO

(For Start up or Shut down)



6.New Features and Samples of displays

- New Features (3) -

RWM Guide Display

122-	25-	NMR (記! 24 my-i	CPUA			RWM			#-104			200	19/01/07 1:30:26	
	操作ガイ									-					5
									RWMメッセージ						
Urap HA															
51									22						
47															
43															
39															
35															
															1
03															
	02	06	10		18	22	26	30	34	38	42	46	50		





- Sample of display (Plant Summary Display)-



6.New Features and Samples of displays

- Sample of display (Jet Pump Display)-









(2) Firmware of Raid controller Problem

Server restarted frequently caused by a bug of Raid controller

(3) Automatic Fail Over Problem

Server failed in automatic fail over when critical process stopped



7.Post Installation Issue

(1) RTC and System time synchronization problem (Continued)

- Both CPU-A and CPU-B had been operating more than 3 months, and RTC of CPU-A was getting delayed and the delay was being accumulated from start-up (About 3 min delayed at that time)
- · CPU-A was shutdown for some reasons.
- At that time, the time of RTC was not equalized to system time because of Bug in w32time. *1
- After that, CPU-A made to restart-up, when system time of CPU-A was matched to the delayed RTC time and did not synchronize since then. *2

```
Note 💥 1 :
```

That bug was posted at the Microsoft web site in July 2008



According to w32Time configuration, system time of CPU-A was designed to be synchronized to time keeper when time difference is less than the duration of 1 min, in this case synchronization was not made due to 3 min delayed



7.Post Installation Issue

(1) RTC and System time synchronization problem (Continued)

[Countermeasures and Issues identified]

-Short term-

- 1) Update of the latest "w32Time.dll" to synchronize to RTC time to System time when server is shut down
- 2) Checking support site of Software vendor (Microsoft) frequently and available update should be evaluated whether to install that

-Questions-

- 1) How to validate technical base and reasonability of the "1 minute duration" of w32time configuration?
- 2) What is an effective method to synchronize the RTC time and Windows system time?
- 3) Is there any better way to achieve time synchronization? (Method and Configuration)

7.Post Installation Issue

(2) Firmware of Raid controller Problem

[Event]

Stand-by Server restarted frequently

- Background Patrol Read function^(*1) detected HDD error and tried to recover the HDD
- *1: Raid Controller function supported by DELL
- That function seemed to lock the system including R*TIME application, however because HDD recovery was not effectively achieved and the cyclic phenomena happened (According to DELL Support site)

System restarted when Patrol read function locked the system
7.Post Installation Issue

(2) Firmware of Raid controller Problem

- [Countermeasures and Issues identified]
- -Short term-
- 1) Replacing the Failed HDD
- 2) Update of the latest version of firmware
- 3) Checking support site of Vendors frequently and available update should be evaluated whether to install that

-Questions-

- Have you experienced any issue which was caused by 3rd party application, like this DELL Raid controller?
- 2) Do you have any preference for HW vendor?

7.Post Installation Issue

(3) Automatic Fail Over Problem

[Event]

When a critical process stopped at Active server, the automatic Fail Over did not occur

- When the Standby server experienced the frequent startups, some system points at the standby server became "OFF SCAN"
- One of the point is "SHEALTH" that point works for fail over function and active server recognized the standby server not normal state and a server mode for fail over became "Manual Mode"

Automatic Fail Over did not happen

TEPSCO Proprietary Information

7.Post Installation Issue

(3) Automatic Fail Over Problem

[Countermeasures and Issues identified]

- 1) "OFF Scan" seemed to be caused by the failure in reading "Warm up start file"
- 2) "Server Mode" for Fail Over can only be seen at the specific display, so it should be seen at any display

-Questions-

1) Have any of you experienced the same issue?

TEPSCO Proprietary Information

8.Lessons Learned

[Specifications]

- We could not effectively determine requirements at the initial project stage
- We needed to learn R*TIME system sufficiently, the work to describe some documents were delayed
- To review or approve the SRS/SDD documents were delayed.
- delayed → To determine the specification quickly, requirements and R*TIME functions have to be understood well

[Design Works]

- This is a first time for our replacement, and there was no in-company standard specification
 - → Prepare standard documents for Next Replacement

8.Lessons Learned

[Testing]

- The behavior of emulator for the purpose of I/F testing was a little bit different to the actual behavior
 - → Consider transient behavior of each system
- · We could not spend much time to do the test activity.

(Post-Installation)

· We could not find Time synchronization issue and Fail-over issue at $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FAT}}$

→ Conduct more test under various server conditions

TEPSCO Proprietary Information

TEPS

8.Lessons Learned

[Communications / Supports]

- We had prepared Video conference system for this project, and have periodical meeting with SCI member
- SCI engineers stayed in 1F site while pre-combination testing and installation















