Cooling Tower Improvement Study Using PEPSE

Justin Strupp

Scientech, a business unit of Curtiss-Wright Flow Control

> CURTISS WRIGHT Flow Control Company SCIENTECH

Scott Prokopetz

SaskPower



EPRI Heat Rate Improvement Conference February 5-7, 2013

Shand Power Station

- Single-Unit Lignite Coal Plant
- Estevan, Saskatchewan, Canada
- Commissioned in 1992
- 305 MW Gross
- B&W Boiler
- Hitachi Steam Turbine



Cooling System



Main Cooling Towers

OEM – Ceramic Cooling Tower, installed in 1992 Induced Draft, Counterflow, Clay Tile Fill



Auxiliary Cooling Towers

OEM – Psychrometric Systems Inc, installed 2000
Induced Draft, Counterflow, PVC Fill



Problem

- Since construction, Shand Power Station has had historically higher than design condenser backpressure
- Auxiliary towers were added in 2000 but the problem remained
- Causes
 - Cooling Water (CW) flow slightly lower than design
 - 92 95% design flow to condenser
 - Cooling Towers performing lower than design
 - 70 80% capability
 - Condenser cleanliness slightly lower than design
 - average 80% (design 90%)

Effects of Problem

Forced Plant De-rates

- In the summer, back pressure increases to a point near the maximum allowable for the turbine
- MW output must be lowered to stay within the back pressure limits of the turbine
- De-rates cost \$800,000 per year in replacement energy costs
- Increased Heat Rate
 - As back pressure increases, the boiler must fire harder
 - Heat rate effect has not been quantified

Possible Improvement Areas

- Increase cooling water flow
- Increase air flow to the main cooling towers
- Additional cooling tower cells
- Upgrade water distribution system in main towers
- Upgrade fill in main towers

First three can be modeled with PEPSE

Modeling of Possible Improvement Areas

- CW Flow
 - 15% under design flow
 - 30% over design flow
- Main Cooling Tower Air Flow
 - 15% under design flow
 - 25% over design flow
- Adding cooling tower cells
 - One cell to auxiliary cooling tower
 - Two cells to auxiliary cooling tower
 - One cell to main cooling tower
 - Two cells to main cooling tower

Turbine Cycle Modeling

- Turbine Cycle
 - Heat Balance Tuned
 - Plant Data
 - Design mode Condenser



Cooling Tower Modeling

- Cooling Towers Main and Auxiliary
- Cooling Tower Performance Curves





Merged Model



Turbine Cycle Assumptions

- MCR Main Steam and Reheat Conditions
- Feedwater Heater TTD and DCA Constant
- Other Conditions
- Design Condenser Cleanliness Factor
- De-rate Conditions
 - LP Turbine Exhaust above 50°C
 - Main steam flow reduced

Cooling Tower Assumptions

- Five wet-bulb temperatures 24°C, 23°C, 21°C, 18°C, and 17°C
 - 50% Humidity
 - -94.5 kPa Pressure
- Cooling Tower Conditions
- CCCW System

CW Flow Calculations

 Change the CW flow from 85% to 130% of design CW Flow

CW Flow Results - MW

Gross MW Output for CW Flow Change



CW Flow Results - HR



Fan Air Flow Calculations



Fan Air Flow Results - MW

Gross MW Output for Air Flow Change



Fan Air Flow Results - HR



Additional Cells Calculations



Adding Cooling Tower Cells Results - MW

Gross MW Output for Adding Cells



Adding Cooling Tower Cells Results - HR

Gross Unit HR for Adding Cells 10290 10270 (HM) 10250 10230 10210 ■ 24°C ■ 21°C ■ 17°C 10190 10170 1 Aux. 1 Main 2 Main 2 Aux. Base

The use of the PEPSE Data

- Review which options were worth exploring further:
 - Feasibility of implementation
 - Cost of implementation
 - Effects on other parts of the system
- Provide benefits found to the second part of the study:
 - Potential benefits
 - Cost justification

Conclusion

- Study to choose best improvement option(s) is still ongoing
- So far, indications are that a distribution system / fill upgrade in the main towers will offer the greatest improvement
- Study will provide which option or combination of options is best suited to improve the back pressure issue

Questions?

